UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,220	02/14/2006	Young Jin Doh	9988.300.00	6259
	7590 09/15/200 DNG & ALDRIDG E L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			68,220	DOH, YOUNG JIN	N			
			niner	Art Unit				
		Steph	nen Gravini	3749				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAISTON SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete properties above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMU no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Me application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this companies as a second companies. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>14 Februar</i> i	/ 2006					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 February 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□		<i>7</i> —		atters prosecution as to the	merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,	,				
· · ·		application						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	otion and/or alacti	on requirement					
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electi	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 Fe<i>bruary</i></u>	<u>′ 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)	$\operatorname{\square}$ objected to by the Examir	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction is re	equired if the drawi	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		en received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	' 							
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060214. 5) ☑ Other:								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deaton (US 3,718,982). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed, in light of the accompanying specification, to be disclosed by Deaton as comprising:

a door **36**;

a lint filter **82** including a filter housing **88** installed at a rear of the door and a filter main body **90** inserted into the filter housing; and

at least one securing member **92** for securing the filter main body to the filter housing. Deaton also discloses the claimed guide rail formed therein for guiding the filter main body therein as shown in figure 2, wherein the securing member is formed at a bottom portion of the guide rail as shown in figure 3, wherein the securing member is formed perpendicularly to the guide rail as shown in figure 1, wherein the securing member includes a convexing portion convexing from one end for contacting a periphery of the filter main body, and a supporting protrusion for supporting the convexing portion and wherein the convexing portion has a predetermined elasticity as shown in figure 3, wherein the filter main body is pressed firmly by the securing member against a rear of the filter housing at column 3 lines 5-41, wherein the convexing portion

Page 3

convexes towards the supporting protrusion when the filter main body is inserted into the filter housing, and the convexing portion readopts an original shape when the filter main body is pulled out from the filter housing as shown in figure 3, wherein the convexing portion has a deformation degree limited by the supporting protrusion as shown in figure 2.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fox (US 3,648,381). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed, in light of the accompanying specification, to be disclosed by Fox as comprising:

a door **40**;

a filter main body **54** including a mesh **76** and a frame **56** on an outer periphery of the wire mesh; and

a filter housing **64** disposed at a rear of the door, and having a guide rail for guiding an insertion **70** of the filter main body and a pressing member **66** protruding at a right angle to the guide rail. Fox also discloses the claimed frame pressed firmly against a rear of the filter housing by the pressing member when inserted therein as shown in figure 3, wherein the guide rail guides each side of the frame as shown in figure 2, wherein the filter main body inserts into the filter housing from a top or a side as shown in figure 1, wherein the frame has a handle **58** on an upper portion thereof for a user to grasp, wherein the pressing member protrudes in a direction so that the pressing member presses the filter main body against a rear of the filter housing as shown in figure 3.

Art Unit: 3749

Double Patenting

Page 4

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 11/938,949. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the lint filter to the copending application since both inventions perform the functions as claimed regardless of the lint filter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272

Application/Control Number: 10/568,220 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven B. McAllister can be reached on 571 272 6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749